

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS - QE 60c and higher rates**

**SECTION AG-C. QE 60c and higher rates**

Yang #	Shfd #	Issue Date	Value	Description	Early	Late	Notes
		<b>QE II</b>					
<b>NEW</b>			50c + 10c	Sch. 16 + 10c stamp; 2 sub-types: different length of Chinese inscription	16.2.76	5.2.77 31.1.80	ERD: LCS; "Normal" LRD: Ms. Crewe; also 25.5.76 with 1 mm. shorter Chinese. Aerogram rate to 60c c.1.1.76 Overall LRD: Ebay 350261026709 noted by Harmon Fine
AL15	17	15.3.77	60c	60c definitive blue dragon; Upper left: "By Air Mail/Aerogramme;" 166x94 mm		15.5.81	LRD: LCS
AL16	18	1.7.81	60c + 40c	Sch. 17 with 40c in stamps added		16.4.84	LRD: LCS - possibly individual using up obsolete value
AL17	19	21.9.81	\$1.00	\$1 definitive blue dragon; 167 x 95; "AEROGRAMME," no "BY AIR MAIL"		12.6.83	LRD: LCS. Halewood: aerogram to \$1.00 on 1.7.81
AL18	20	7.4.83	\$1.00	As Sch. 19, but 210 x 100 mm., and 2-line warning on back vs. previous 3		4.3.84	LRD: LCS
AL19	21	24.9.84	\$1.00	As Sch. 20 - White dragon on blue background, with clouds			"Dragon motif being more complicated than the previous issue" (HKSC Bul, 251/13)
AL21	22	1.4.85	\$1.00	As Sch. 20 - White dragon on green background, with orchids			
<b>NEW?</b>			\$1+ 30c	Sch. 20 with 30c in stamps added		6.2.86	LRD (only example seen): LCS
--	24	1985	\$1+ 30c	Sch. 21 with 30c in stamps added		26.4.86	LRD: LCS
--	25	1985	\$1+ 30c	Sch. 22 with 30c in stamps added			
AL20	23	2.9.85?	\$1.30	As Sch. 21 without clouds, definitive		6.9.86	Halewood: AG rate to \$1.30c on 2.9.85, but see text
AL22	26	2.9.85?	\$1.30	As Sch. 22 with \$1.30 definitive value		9.12.87	LRD: LCS - See see Sch. 28 and text re. 12.87 use
AL23	27	8.9.86	\$1.30	Map of Hong Kong in blue shades	8.9.86		ERD: FDC, "Stamps World" # 63
<b>NEW?</b>			\$1.30 + 10c	Sch. 26 with 10c stamp added	28.4.89	29.5.89	ERD, LRD: LCS - See notes for Sch. 26 and 28 and text
AL24 or 26?	28	1.9.88	\$1.40	As Sch. 27 with new value	1.9.88		Schoenfeld stated \$1.40 map issued in 1987. \$1.40 aerograms issued 1.9.88 per "Stamps World" # 86.
AL25	29	1.9.88	\$1.40	Hong Kong skyline	1.9.88	4.7.91	LRD: LCS. \$1.40 AG issued 1.9.88 per "Stamps World"
--	30	1991	\$1.80	As Sch. 29 + 150th anniversary logo	18.4.91		ERD: LCS. Halewood: aerogram rate to \$1.80c 2.4.91
AL27	--	18.4.91	\$1.80	As Sch. 27 + 150th anniversary logo			
AL28		1.7.92	\$1.80	Multicolor dragon		17.8.93	LRD: LCS
AL29		1.11.93	\$1.90	Multicolor dragon, as AL28 - new value	1.11.93		ERD - FDC. Halewood: AG rate to \$1.90c on 1.9.93
AL30		1.6.95	\$2.10	Multicolor dragon, as AL28 - new value	1.6.95		ERD - FDC. Halewood: AG rate to \$2.10c on 1.6.95
AL31		2.9.96	\$2.30	Modern Hong Kong scenes	2.9.96		ERD - FDC. Halewood: AG rate to \$2.30c on 2.9.96
AL32		22.11.96	\$2.30	Multicolor dragon, as AL28 - new value	22.11.96		ERD - FDC
<b>NEW</b>		26.1.97	\$2.30	Modern Hong Kong scenes, as AL 31, but HK at night imprinted stamp	26.1.97	2.1.98	ERD, LRD: LCS - ERD is FDC. HK at night stamp, as inset on Yang CM84M sheetlet

**Table AG-3. Hong Kong QE 60c and higher rate aerograms, descriptions and usage**


**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

Descriptions, including previously unrecorded types and subtypes of QE 60c and above rated aerograms are summarized in Table AG-3 above. Various usages, including ERDs and LRDs, are also noted in this table. Since illustrations of most of these AGs are provided in Yang's catalog, they are not duplicated herein except for the following reasons:

- 1) To illustrate types not shown by Yang,
- 2) To distinguish between types which Yang's illustration do not adequately provide for,
- 3) To update information provided by Schoenfeld and/or Yang,
- 4) To show other AGs of philatelic and/or postal history significance.

Mr. Halewood stated that the AG rate increased from 50c to 60c on 15.3.77, but as previously noted, the evidence of covers indicates that the AG rate was raised from 50c to 60c c.1.1.76. The 15.3.77 could have been the date of the HKPO Guide in which this earlier rate change was listed. Alternatively, the 15.3.77 issue date of the 60c definitive AG could have led to an inaccurate assumption that the rate was raised at the same time. When official PO documentation is not available, postal historians make use of other evidence available to them, which is believed to be relevant and valid, to try to fill out the postal history. Subsequent corrections based on new information are merely intended to try to set the record straight, and are certainly not meant to cast any blame or shame on the work of preceding authors.

Neither Schoenfeld nor Yang recorded the 50c Sch. type 16 AG with an additional 10c adhesive stamp affixed to pay the new 60c AG rate, although earlier and later such usages to meet new air mail rates were recorded by both. The example illustrated in Figure AG-7a is the earliest 50c plus 10c example that has been seen: 16.2.76. I also have an unusual example used to Macau with the "By Air Mail ..." inscription at the top left crossed off.



**Figure AG-7a. Type 16 AG + 10c stamp for 60c air rate: Unrecorded; ERD: 16.2.76**

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

Two subtypes of this “New” 50c AG plus 10c adhesive stamp are illustrated in Figure AG-7b. The bottom row of Chinese characters in the warning on the back is 110 mm. long in one of the subtypes, and 111.5 - 112 mm. long in the other subtype, counting the large period at the end of the row. A quicker way to distinguish between these two subtypes is that a vertical line drawn upward from the left edge of the “S” in “Second fold here” just touches or slightly intersects the left side of the seventh Chinese character from the left, not counting the comma, in the shorter subtype (top of Figure AG-7b). In the longer subtype, such a vertical line falls between the sixth and seventh Chinese characters (bottom of Figure AG-7b).



**Figure AG-7b. Unrecorded type 16 subtypes: length of Chinese characters**

As has previously been noted, the subtype with shorter Chinese warning has not yet been found on the basic 50c AG issue (Sch. 16) without the additional 10c stamp. It seems logical that the subtype with shorter Chinese warning should exist for the basic 50c issue, unless it was issued just before the AG rate was raised to 60c, so that it only saw usage with the addition of a 10c adhesive to meet the new 60c rate. That alternative is disproved by a 25.5.76 example of this AG subtype with the shorter Chinese warning, whereas the definitive 60c AG (Sch. 17) was not issued until 15.3.77.

The queen’s head was replaced on the new definitive 60c AG (Sch. 17) by a blue dragon on dark blue field with white lettering, although the “ER” and crown were retained in the stamp format through the Sch. type 28 issue in 1988. The inscription at the top left of Sch. 17, also white on a dark blue rectangular field, was reduced to “By Air Mail / Aerogramme,” but the back remained unchanged from the previous issue. Schoenfeld recorded the size of this issue as 167 x 95 mm., although an editorial error shows the corresponding Yang type AL15 as 167 x 195 mm. My ruler measures Sch. 17 and 19 as 166 x 94 mm. The Yang AG catalog numbers have been added to Table AG-3 for reference.


Halewood records that the AG rate was increased to \$1.00 on 1.7.81, after over five years at the 60c rate. Yang lists the issuance of the 60c AG with an additional 40c stamp affixed to it on that same date. An editorial error in Schoenfeld’s listing shows the 40c stamp having been added to his Type 16 AG (the 50c Machin issue), whereas his Type 16 should be Type 17 (the 60c blue dragon) instead. The latest known use of the Schoenfeld Type 18 AG (60c + 40c), which is illustrated in Figure AG-8a, is 16.4.84.

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**



**Figure AG-8a. Sch. Type 18: 60c AG + 40c adhesive stamp; LRD: 16.4.84**


The definitive \$1.00 AG was issued on 21.9.81 per Yang (AL17, Sch. 19). The dragon stamp format was continued for the \$1.00 AG issue, although the inscription at the upper left was further simplified to just the word “Aerogramme” in white letters on a rectangular blue field (“By Air Mail” was eliminated), as displayed in Figure AG-8b. This format change was not illustrated by Schoenfeld, who incorrectly listed the \$1 AG as being of the same format type as the 60c (his “e”). The three line warning on the back remained as on the preceding issues.




**Figure AG-8b. Definitive \$1 AG (Sch. 19); used on date issued: 21.9.81**

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

Three new types of the dragon motif AGs were issued in 1983-85: Sch. 20 – 22, with the size increased to 210 x 100 mm. Since the Yang catalog shows the same illustration for the 210 x 100 mm. AG, as for the 167 x 95 mm. type, the new larger size AG (Sch. 20) is illustrated in Figure AG-8c-1. A two line enclosure warning (with no serifs) was substituted for the previous three line warning (with serifs) on the back, although the actual wording was not altered. The first three characters of the bottom row of characters of the Chinese warning, and the following comma, were relocated to the top row, as shown in Figure AG-8c-2. The equivalent Chinese wording was also added to the various other instructions on these AGs (e.g., “To open slit here”).



**Figure AG-8c-1. Larger format \$1 dragon AG (Sch. 20): LRD: 4.3.84**



**Figure AG-8c-2. Sch. 20 AG back showing 2-line revised warning format**


**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

Halewood recorded that the AG rate was raised from \$1.00 to \$1.30 on 2.9.85. Schoenfeld recorded his types 21 and 22 \$1.00 AGs, with additional 30c in adhesive stamps affixed, as also having been issued in 1985 (Sch. 24 and 25), although Yang did not record these types. A single 6.2.86 example of the Sch. type 20 \$1.00 AG with additional 30c in adhesive stamp affixed has also been found. This example, which is not cataloged by Schoenfeld or Yang, is illustrated in Figure AG-9. It has been recorded as “New” with a “?” in Table AG-3, since it could be an instance of an individual using up an obsolete value AG, rather than an official issue. However, the fact that two other \$1 AGs are recorded as having been officially supplemented with 30c in adhesive stamps to satisfy the new \$1.30 air mail rate, lends credence to the \$1 AG + 30c stamp shown in Figure AG-9 being an official issue. Has anyone else seen one like this?



**Figure AG-9. Type 20 \$1 AG with 30c adhesive affixed: unrecorded; only 1 known**

The 24.4.86 LRD of the Schoenfeld type 24 AG: the type 21 \$1.00 AG, with an additional 30c in adhesive stamps affixed, is displayed in Figure AG-10a-1. The indicia of the basic type 21 AG is about 3 mm. longer than the Type 20 issue to accommodate the more elaborate rendering of the dragon. This AG bears a background of clouds, and “Aerogramme” is printed in positive format, vs. the previous negative version.



**Figure AG-10a-1. Sch. type 24: \$1.00 type 21 AG + 30c in stamps; LRD: 26.4.86**

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**


The English language warning on the back of the Sch. Type 24 AG has been transformed into sentence format (first letter of first word capitalized, rest of letters lower case), as shown in Figure AG-10a-2. The equivalent Chinese warning has been reformatted into a single line by reducing the first portion preceding the comma from 19 to 12 characters.



**Figure AG-10a-2. Sch. 24 AG back: reformatted warning; shorter Chinese rendition**

As previously mentioned, Halewood recorded that the AG rate was raised from \$1.00 to \$1.30 on 2.9.85. Yang lists issuance of two definitive \$1.30 AGs also on that date (AL 20, Sch. 23, and AL22, Sch.26). The 2.9.85 date for one or the other of these recordings may be incorrect, since there would seem to have been no need for the addition of 30c stamps to the \$1 AGs, if the definitive \$1.30 AGs were available on the day that the AG rate increased to \$1.30, unless the 30c supplement was purely to use up the \$1 AGs.

An unaddressed example of the \$1.30 dragon definitive issue (Sch. 23), without the background of clouds, is illustrated in Figure AG-10b. The 6.9.86 "Philatelic Bureau" cancellation (Proud type HS 15: 1985 – 89) bears a decided similarity to HK PO philatelic covers which were created to commemorate first day issues. However, the Yang image of this AG bears a 2.9.85 example of this Philatelic Bureau cancel, which is the issue date for this AG stated by Yang.



**Figure AG-10b. \$1.30 dragon definitive issue without clouds background (Sch. 23)**

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

A new AG indicia design of a map of HK with \$1.30 value was issued on 8.9.86, according to Yang (AL23, Sch. 27), as illustrated in Figure AG-11. The map is in two shades of blue on light cream-colored paper, and the equivalent Chinese characters for Aerogramme have been added at the top left. Although the English language warning on the back of the \$1.30 map AG has the same wording as preceding issues, the Chinese rendition consists of 12 characters before the coma, as shown for Sch. Type 24 in Figure AG-10a-2, vs. 19 characters for other types. The indicia on the Figure AG-11 cover, surprisingly, was not cancelled, but the letter is dated 15.2.87.



**Figure AG-11. \$1.30 definitive issue, new HK map design, Sch. 27, issued 8.9.86**


Yang AL23 is listed as “1986 (8 Sept.) \$1.30 map of Hong Kong.” However, Yang AL24 is also listed as “\$1.30 map of Hong Kong,” but with “1987” issue date. This appears duplicative, so AL24 may have been intended to be the \$1.40 map issued in 1988. The Yang AL26 AG is listed as “1988 (1 Sept.) \$1.70 map of Hong Kong.” There was no \$1.70 AG rate nor AG issue. Since the \$1.40 AGs are recorded by “Stamps World” as having been issued on 1.9.88, and since AL26 is illustrated as a \$1.40 AG cancelled on 1.9.88, it is assumed that the “\$1.70” is an editorial error for \$1.40. However, then Yang AL26 would be a duplicate of AL24, if AL24 was intended to be the \$1.40 vs. the \$1.30 map issue.

The \$1.30 definitive AG bearing the dragon indicia with orchids at the left side (Sch. type 26) is shown in Figure AG-12, with a 10c adhesive stamp added. The warning on the back against enclosures and attachments was changed from two lines on the preceding issues to three lines, to accommodate the large orchid illustration design, although the wording remains the same.

Halewood stated that the AG rate was increased to \$1.40 on 1.9.88. Examples of the Sch. type 26 \$1.30 AG with additional 10c adhesive stamp affixed have been found, but such up-rating of the \$1.30 AG to \$1.40 was not cataloged by Schoenfeld or Yang. The \$1.30 AG with additional 10c adhesive stamp has been recorded as “New?” in Table AG-3, since the known April and May 1989 examples, about eight months after the AG rate is recorded as having been raised to \$1.40, could be instances of individuals using up obsolete value AGs, rather than an official issue. The 28.4.89 ERD illustrated in Figure AG-12 is addressed “c/o Parliament Bldg, London,

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

U.K.” This cover bears a “Cleared by Security Dept.” hand stamp on the back, which probably indicates that it was checked for hazardous contents.



**Figure AG-12. Type 26 \$1.30 AG with additional 10c adhesive affixed: Unrecorded**

Schoenfeld stated that his type 28 AG with \$1.40 valued map of HK imprinted stamp was issued in 1987. A Sch. type 26 \$1.30 AG, dated 9.12.87, indicates that the AG rate was not raised from \$1.30 to \$1.40 until at least mid-December 1987, unless this AG was underpaid, but not marked postage due. As noted above, Halewood stated that the AG rate increased to \$1.40 on 1.9.88. “Stamps World” issue 86 recorded contemporaneously that both \$1.40 definitive AGs (Sch. 28 and 29) were issued on 1.9.88.

The \$1.40 definitive map issue (Sch. 28) illustrated in Figure AG-13a has a blue interior, unlike the \$1.30 map issue, which was cream-colored inside and out. This blue interior shows through

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

to the outside to a small degree. “Stamps World” issue 86 noted minor printing differences between Sch. 27 (\$1.30 map) and 28: e.g., the address lines are closer together on Sch. 27 than on 28. The “Kowloon City / 5” c.d.s. is Proud Type D19, recorded used 26.3.88 – 19.3.97.



**Figure AG-13a. \$1.40 definitive map issue (Sch. 28); “Kowloon City”: 1.9.88 FDC**

Although the English language warning on the back of the \$1.30 and \$1.40 map AGs have the same wording, the Chinese rendition of the warning consists of 12 characters before the comma, as shown for Sch. Type 27 (\$1.30) at the top of Figure AG-13b, vs. 19 characters for Type 28 (\$1.40) at the bottom.



**Figure AG-13b. \$1.30 & \$1.40 map AG backs showing 12 vs 19 Chinese characters**

A new \$1.40 AG type with images of the HK skyline on the indicia and the left front, and a sampan on the back, was issued on 26.3.88, according to Yang (type AL25). However, the corresponding illustration bears a 1.9.88 c.d.s., identical to that on his illustration of the \$1.40 map AG FDC. The back of this AG bears the standard warning with 19 Chinese characters.


**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

The example of this \$1.40 AG illustrated in Figure AG-14 was sent on 4.7.91, which is the latest known use. This was about three months after the AG rate was raised to \$1.80 on 2.4.91, but there is no indication that postage due was charged. This is a FFC flown on a Lufthansa B747, which was delayed from 2.6.91, according to the information in the red triangular handstamp.



**Figure AG-14. \$1.40 AG with HK skyline images (Sch. 29); HK – Nagoya FFC**

Halewood recorded that the AG rate was increased from \$1.40 to \$1.80 on 2.4.91. Schoenfeld cataloged a 1991 \$1.80 AG, with the HK skyline motif as in his type 29, but also including a 150<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the HKPO logo (Sch. 30). The back is printed the same as Sch. 29. For some unknown reason, Yang did not record this issue (at least not in my 2007 copy). The 18.4.91 example of this AG illustrated in Figure AG-15 may be a FDC, since the 18.4.91 Yang AL27 issue is a FDC (however, see AL27)



**Figure AG-15. \$1.80 AG with HK skyline images & HKPO 150<sup>th</sup> anniversary logo**

Yang cataloged a \$1.80 AG, with the HK map motif of the Sch. type 27 \$1.30 issue, but also including the 150<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the HKPO logo (AL27 issued 18.4.91). The back bears the

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

same warning information as the preceding issue. This or subsequent issues were not included in Schoenfeld's catalog, since it was published in 1991 (his introduction to it is dated 1.4.91). If Sch. 30 was also issued on 18.4.91, then it would seem that Schoenfeld would have included both of these AGs in his catalog. The fact that he did not, tends to imply that the Sch. 30 AG was issued sometime between 2.4 and 18.4.91. The example of the AL27 AG shown in Figure AG-16 was not used until six years after its issue, which required addition of a 50c adhesive stamp to meet the prevailing \$2.30 AG rate.



**Figure AG-16. \$1.80 AG: Hong Kong map indicia & HKPO 150<sup>th</sup> anniversary logo**

A new multicolor dragon motif \$1.80 AG was issued on 1.7.92 (AL 28), as shown in Figure AG-17. The horizontal lines for the addressee and sender are in red, as are the dashed lines showing where this AG is to be folded, whereas they were never in this color on any preceding AG issues. The blue, green, and purple colors in the dragon image are quite vivid in this issue, but become less and less so with each higher value issue of this design. The 17.8.93 machine cancel on the example illustrated in Figure AG-17 is Proud Type M33/2: 1990 – 96.



**Figure AG-17. \$1.80 AG with multicolor dragon & “HONG / KONG” indicia motif**

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

Halewood recorded the following AG rate increases above \$1.80, as delineated in the left hand column below. The corresponding Yang catalog numbers for each AG issued to satisfy these new rates are shown in the center column, and the issue dates of those AGs are listed in the right hand column. AL 29, 30, and 32 are all the same format as AL28 shown in Figure AG-17.

to \$1.90c on 1.9.93	AL29	1.11.93
to \$2.10c on 1.6.95	AL30	1.6.95
to \$2.30c on 2.9.96	AL31	2.9.96
	AL32	22.11.96

Yang AL31 is a new type with the indicia comprised of a central QE portrait, “Hong Kong” to the left, and \$2.30 to the right. This AG, which bears scenes of HK on front and back, is illustrated in Figure AG-18a. It should be noted that AL31 could only have been used about 10 months, and AL32 could only have been used about 7 months, since both bore Queen Elizabeth likenesses in the stamp designs. Such QE images were no longer valid on and after 1.7.97, when Hong Kong became a Special Administrative Region (SAR) of the Peoples Republic of China.


The AG displayed in Figure AG-18a bears a 2.9.96 pictorial datestamp, which is the day that this type AG was issued. This datestamp includes a letter “code” for the branch post office where it was applied; in this case “SCL” for Shatin Central. It is Proud Type HS9, recorded used from 18.6.96, and still in use on 30.6.97, the last day of British administration of HK. Hong Kong Philatelic Society Journal 1 records that 18.6.96 was the first day of use of such new design pictorial philatelic postmarks.



**Figure AG-18a. \$2.30 HK scenes AG: 2.9.96 FDC; pictorial cancels first day of use**

There is no “s” on “letter” at the end of the English language warning on the back of AL31, as demonstrated in Figure AG-18b. The word “letter”, sans “s”, which was “letters” on all preceding issues, renders the warning grammatically incorrect. This problem does not exist on AL32, but does reappear on the AG issue, unrecorded by Yang, which is described below.


**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**



**Figure AG-18b. \$2.30 HK scenes AG back showing incorrect "letter" vs. "letters"**

The \$2.30 AG illustrated in Figure AG-19 was not, for some unknown reason, recorded in the Yang catalogs from 1997, at least through 2007 (the latest I have). However, Hong Kong Philatelic Society Journal 2 records that a new \$2.30 AG was issued on 26.1.97. Thus, this AG was only used for about five months while HK remained under British administration, and it is the last known AG issued while HK was still a British colony. This AG depicts the same modern HK scenes as AL 31, but bears a HK at night imprinted stamp similar to the inset on the Yang CM84M sheetlet. The Yang Type AL32 AG was printed on solid blue paper, whereas this AG has a light blue exterior and cream-colored interior, and there are other minor differences between the printing on these two AGs.

Since the Figure AG-19 AG does not bear any QE image or other British artifacts, its use is known to have continued after HK became a Chinese SAR, at least as late as 2.1.98, as demonstrated by this AG. This cover bears a Proud Type M44 machine cancel, with "H2" at the bottom, although the datestamp is very faint. Proud records State 1 of this datestamp, with wavy lines curved up – down – up, used from 3.5.97. The "H2" cancel on the Figure AG-19 cover has wavy lines curved down – up – down, so it is a later state of M44, not recorded by Proud.



**Figure AG-19. \$2.30 AG, with HK at night indicia & HK scenes; 2.1.98 LRD**

**PART 6. AEROGRAMS**  
**Section AG-C: QE 60c and higher rates**

The next section is 7. Summary and References. To access this section or other parts of the monograph, return to the HKSC website/Papers.

<http://www.hongkongstudycircle.com/papers.html>